

APPENDIX K

Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDLs

State of California
California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region

RESOLUTION NO. 02-004
January 24, 2002

Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) for the Los Angeles Region to Incorporate a Dry Weather Total Maximum Daily Load for Bacteria at Santa Monica Bay Beaches

WHEREAS, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region, finds that:

1. The federal Clean Water Act (CWA) requires the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (Regional Board) to develop water quality objectives which are sufficient to protect beneficial uses for each water body found within its region.
2. A consent decree between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), Heal the Bay, Inc. and BayKeeper, Inc. was approved on March 22, 1999. This court order directs the USEPA to complete Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for all the Los Angeles Region's impaired waters within 13 years. A schedule was established in the consent decree for the completion of 29 TMDLs within 7 years, including completion of a TMDL to reduce bacteria at Santa Monica Bay beaches by March 2002. The remaining TMDLs will be scheduled by Regional Board staff within the 13-year period.
3. The elements of a TMDL are described in 40 CFR 130.2 and 130.7 and section 303(d) of the CWA, as well as in USEPA guidance documents (e.g., USEPA, 1991). A TMDL is defined as "the sum of the individual waste load allocations for point sources and load allocations for nonpoint sources and natural background" (40 CFR 130.2). Regulations further stipulate that TMDLs must be set at "levels necessary to attain and maintain the applicable narrative and numeric water quality standards with seasonal variations and a margin of safety that takes into account any lack of knowledge concerning the relationship between effluent limitations and water quality" (40 CFR 130.7(c)(1)). The provisions in 40 CFR 130.7 also state that TMDLs shall take into account critical conditions for stream flow, loading and water quality parameters.
4. Upon establishment of TMDLs by the State or USEPA, the State is required to incorporate the TMDLs along with appropriate implementation measures into the State Water Quality Management Plan (40 CFR 130.6(c)(1), 130.7). The Water Quality Control Plan for the Los Angeles Region (Basin Plan), and applicable statewide plans, serve as the State Water Quality Management Plans governing the watersheds under the jurisdiction of the Regional Board.
5. Santa Monica Bay is located in Los Angeles County, California. The proposed TMDL addresses documented bacteriological water quality impairments at 44 beaches from the Los Angeles/Ventura County line, to the northwest, to Outer Cabrillo Beach, just south of the Palos Verdes Peninsula.
6. The Regional Board's goal in establishing the above-mentioned TMDL is to reduce the risk of illness associated with swimming in marine waters contaminated with human sewage and

other sources of bacteria. Local and national epidemiological studies compel the conclusion that there is a causal relationship between adverse health effects, such as gastroenteritis, and recreational water quality, as measured by bacteria indicator densities.

7. Interested persons and the public have had reasonable opportunity to participate in review of the amendment to the Basin Plan. Efforts to solicit public review and comment include staff presentations to the Santa Monica Bay Restoration Project's Bay Watershed Council and Technical Advisory Committee between May 1999 and October 2001 and creation of a Steering Committee in July 1999 to provide input on scientific and technical components of the TMDL with participation by the Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works, County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, Heal the Bay, and Santa Monica Bay Restoration Project. In addition, a draft of the TMDL for bacteria at Santa Monica Bay beaches was released for public comment on November 9, 2001; a Notice of Hearing and Notice of Filing were published and circulated 45 days preceding Board action; Regional Board staff responded to oral and written comments received from the public; and the Regional Board held a public hearing on January 24, 2002 to consider adoption of the TMDL.
8. On October 25, 2001, the Regional Board adopted Resolution 2001-018 establishing revised bacteriological water quality objectives for the Water Contact Recreation (REC-1) beneficial use, and the TMDL is intended to accompany and to implement the revised water quality objectives. While the Regional Board has approved the water quality objective change, the change is not yet effective because the State Water Resources Control Board, the Office of Administrative Law, and the USEPA have not yet approved the revised water quality objective.
9. The amendment is consistent with the State Antidegradation Policy (State Board Resolution No. 68-16), in that the changes to water quality objectives (i) consider maximum benefits to the people of the state, (ii) will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated beneficial use of waters, and (iii) will not result in water quality less than that prescribed in policies. Likewise, the amendment is consistent with the federal Antidegradation Policy (40 CFR 131.12).
10. The basin planning process has been certified as functionally equivalent to the California Environmental Quality Act requirements for preparing environmental documents (Public Resources Code, Section 21000 et seq.) and as such, the required environmental documentation and CEQA environmental checklist have been prepared.
11. The proposed amendment results in no potential for adverse effect (de minimis finding), either individually or cumulatively, on wildlife.
12. The regulatory action meets the "Necessity" standard of the Administrative Procedures Act, Government Code, section 11353, subdivision (b).
13. The Basin Plan amendment incorporating a TMDL for bacteria at Santa Monica Bay beaches must be submitted for review and approval by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board), the State Office of Administrative Law (OAL), and the USEPA. The Basin Plan amendment will become effective upon approval by OAL and USEPA. A Notice of Decision will be filed.

THEREFORE, be it resolved that pursuant to Section 13240 and 13242 of the Water Code, the Regional Board hereby amends the Basin Plan as follows:

1. Pursuant to sections 13240 and 13242 of the California Water Code, the Regional Board, after considering the entire record, including oral testimony at the hearing, hereby adopts the amendment to Chapter 7 the Water Quality Control Plan for the Los Angeles Region to incorporate the elements of the Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL for dry weather as set forth in Attachment A hereto.
2. The Executive Officer is directed to forward copies of the Basin Plan amendment to the State Board in accordance with the requirements of section 13245 of the California Water Code.
3. The Regional Board requests that the State Board approve the Basin Plan amendment in accordance with the requirements of sections 13245 and 13246 of the California Water Code and forward it to OAL and the USEPA.
4. The Basin Plan amendment set forth in Attachment A shall only become effective if the water quality objectives revised by Regional Board Resolution 2001-018, or equivalent water quality objectives, have been approved by the State Board, OAL, and USEPA, and are consistent with the TMDL.
5. If during its approval process the State Board or OAL determines that minor, non-substantive corrections to the language of the amendment are needed for clarity or consistency, the Executive Officer may make such changes, and shall inform the Board of any such changes.
6. The Executive Officer is authorized to sign a Certificate of Fee Exemption.

I, Dennis A. Dickerson, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region, on January 24, 2002.

Original Signed By (01/24/2002)

Dennis A. Dickerson
Executive Officer

Attachment A to Resolution No. 02-004

Proposed Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan – Los Angeles Region to incorporate the Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL

Proposed for adoption by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region on January 24, 2002.

Amendments:

Table of Contents

Add:

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) Summaries

7-4 Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL *

List of Figures, Tables and Inserts

Add:

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

Tables

7-4 Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL

7-4.1. Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Dry Weather Only): Elements

7-4.2a. Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Dry Weather Only): Implementation Schedule

7-4.2b. Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Dry Weather Only): Implementation Schedule

7-4.3. Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Dry Weather Only): Significant Dates

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) Summaries

Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Dry Weather Only)*

This TMDL was adopted by:

The Regional Water Quality Control Board on January 24, 2002.

The State Water Resources Control Board on [Insert Date].

The Office of Administrative Law on [Insert Date].

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on [Insert Date].

The following table summarizes the key elements of this TMDL.

Table 7-4.1. Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Dry Weather Only): Elements

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
<i>Problem Statement</i>	Elevated bacterial indicator densities are causing impairment of the water contact recreation (REC-1) beneficial use at many Santa Monica Bay (SMB) beaches. Swimming in waters with elevated bacterial indicator densities has long been associated with adverse health effects. Specifically, local and national epidemiological studies compel the conclusion that there is a causal relationship between adverse health effects and recreational water quality, as measured by bacterial indicator densities.
<i>Numeric Target</i> <i>(Interpretation of the numeric water quality objective, used to calculate the waste load allocations)</i>	<p>The TMDL has a multi-part numeric target based on the bacteriological water quality objectives for marine water to protect the water contact recreation use. These targets are the most appropriate indicators of public health risk in recreational waters.</p> <p>These bacteriological objectives are set forth in Chapter 3 of the Basin Plan, as amended by the Regional Board on October 25, 2001. The objectives are based on four bacterial indicators and include both geometric mean limits and single sample limits. The Basin Plan objectives are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Rolling 30-day Geometric Mean Limits</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Total coliform density shall not exceed 1,000/100 ml. b. Fecal coliform density shall not exceed 200/100 ml. c. Enterococcus density shall not exceed 35/100 ml. 2. <u>Single Sample Limits</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Total coliform density shall not exceed 10,000/100 ml. b. Fecal coliform density shall not exceed 400/100 ml. c. Enterococcus density shall not exceed 104/100 ml. d. Total coliform density shall not exceed 1,000/100 ml, if the ratio of fecal-to-total coliform exceeds 0.1. <p>The targets apply throughout the year. The compliance point for the targets is the wave wash¹, where there is a freshwater outlet (i.e., storm drain or creek) to the beach, or at ankle depth at beaches without a freshwater outlet.</p> <p>The geometric mean targets may not be exceeded at any time. For the single sample targets, each existing shoreline monitoring site is assigned an allowable number of exceedance days for two time periods (summer dry weather and winter dry weather as defined in Table 7-4.2a). (A separate amendment will address the allowable number of wet weather exceedance days.)</p> <p>The allowable number of exceedance days is set such that (1) bacteriological water quality at any site is at least as good as at a designated reference site within the watershed and (2) there is no degradation of existing shoreline bacteriological water quality.</p>
<i>Source Analysis</i>	With the exception of isolated sewage spills, dry weather urban runoff conveyed by storm drains and creeks is the primary source of elevated bacterial indicator densities to SMB beaches during dry weather. Limited natural runoff and groundwater may also potentially contribute to elevated bacterial indicator densities during winter dry weather. This

¹ The wave wash is defined as the point at which the storm drain or creek empties and the effluent from the storm drain initially mixes with the receiving ocean water.

	is supported by the finding that historical monitoring data from the reference beach indicate no exceedances of the single sample targets during summer dry weather and on average only three percent exceedance during winter dry weather.
--	---

<i>Loading Capacity</i>	Studies show that bacterial degradation and dilution during transport from the watershed to the beach do not significantly affect bacterial indicator densities at SMB beaches. Therefore, the loading capacity is defined in terms of bacterial indicator densities, which is the most appropriate for addressing public health risk, and is equivalent to the numeric targets, listed above.
<i>Waste Load Allocations</i>	<p>Waste load allocations are expressed as the number of sample days at a shoreline monitoring site that may exceed the single sample targets identified under “Numeric Target.” Waste load allocations are expressed as allowable exceedance days because the bacterial density and frequency of single sample exceedances are the most relevant to public health protection.</p> <p>For each shoreline monitoring site and corresponding subwatershed, the allowable number of exceedance days is set for two time periods. These two periods are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. summer dry weather (April 1 to October 31), and 2. winter dry weather (November 1 to March 31). <p>The allowable number of exceedance days for a shoreline monitoring site for each time period is based on the lesser of two criteria (1) exceedance days in the designated reference system and (2) exceedance days based on historical bacteriological data at the monitoring site. This ensures that shoreline bacteriological water quality is at least as good as that of a largely undeveloped system and that there is no degradation of existing shoreline bacteriological water quality.² All responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies³ within a subwatershed are jointly responsible for complying with the allowable number of exceedance days for each associated shoreline monitoring site identified in Table 7-4.2a below.</p> <p>The three Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)⁴ discharging to Santa Monica Bay are each given individual WLAs of zero (0) days of exceedance during both summer dry weather and winter dry weather.</p>
<i>Implementation</i>	<p>This TMDL will be implemented in two phases over a 6-year period. The regulatory mechanisms used to implement the TMDL will include primarily the Los Angeles County Municipal Storm Water NPDES Permit, the Caltrans Storm Water Permit, the three NPDES permits for the POTWs, and the authority vested in the Executive Officer via 13267 of the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act.</p> <p>Within 3 years of the effective date of the TMDL, summer dry-weather allowable exceedance days and the rolling 30-day geometric mean</p>

² In order to fully protect public health, no exceedances are permitted at any shoreline monitoring location during summer dry weather (April 1 to October 31). In addition to being consistent with the two criteria, waste load allocations of zero (0) exceedance days are further supported by the fact that the California Department of Health Services has established minimum protective bacteriological standards – the same as the numeric targets in this TMDL – which, when exceeded during the period April 1 to October 31, result in posting a beach with a health hazard warning (California Code of Regulations, title 17, section 7958).

³ For the purposes of this TMDL, “responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies” includes: (1) local agencies that are responsible for discharges from a publicly owned treatment works to the Santa Monica Bay watershed or directly to the Bay, (2) local agencies that are permittees or co-permittees on a municipal storm water permit, (3) local or state agencies that have jurisdiction over a beach adjacent to Santa Monica Bay, and (4) the California Department of Transportation pursuant to its storm water permit.

⁴ Hyperion Wastewater Treatment Plant, Joint Water Pollution Control Plant, and Tapia Wastewater Reclamation Facility.

	targets must be achieved. Within 6 years of the effective date, winter dry-weather allowable exceedance days and the rolling 30-day geometric mean targets must be achieved.
<i>Margin of Safety</i>	WLAs of zero days of exceedance during the summer include an implicit margin of safety. The WLAs of a maximum of three days of exceedance during winter dry weather include an implicit margin of safety because the maximum allowable days of exceedance are based on samples collected 50 yards downcurrent of the freshwater outlet at the reference beach. Findings from a bacterial dispersion study of selected freshwater outlets show that there is typically significant dilution between the freshwater outlet, the wave wash (the compliance point), and a point 50 yards downcurrent.
<i>Seasonal Variations and Critical Conditions</i>	<p>Seasonal variations are addressed by developing separate waste load allocations for two time periods (summer dry weather and winter dry weather) based on public health concerns and observed natural background levels of exceedance of bacterial indicators.</p> <p>The critical period for this dry weather bacteria TMDL is during winter months, when historic shoreline monitoring data for the reference beach indicate that the single sample bacteria objectives are exceeded on average 3% of the dry weather days sampled.</p>

Note: The complete staff report for the TMDL is available for review upon request.

Table 7-4.3. Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Dry Weather Only): Significant Dates

Date	Action
120 days after the effective date of the TMDL	Responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies must submit coordinated shoreline monitoring plan(s), including a list of new sites or sites relocated to the wave wash at which time responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies will select between daily and weekly shoreline sampling.
120 days after the effective date of the TMDL	<p>Responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies must identify and provide documentation on 342 potential discharges to Santa Monica Bay beaches listed in Appendix C of the TMDL Staff Report dated January 11, 2002. Documentation must include a Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD) where necessary.</p> <p>Responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies must identify and provide documentation on potential discharges to the Area of Special Biological Significance (ASBS) in northern Santa Monica Bay from Latigo Point to the County line.</p> <p>Cessation of the discharges into the ASBS shall be required in conformance with the California Ocean Plan.</p>
2 years after effective date of TMDL	Re-open TMDL to re-evaluate allowable winter dry weather exceedance days based on additional data on bacterial indicator densities in the wave wash, a re-evaluation of the reference system selected to set allowable exceedance levels, and a re-evaluation of the reference year used in the calculation of allowable exceedance days.
3 years after effective date of the TMDL	Achieve compliance with allowable exceedance days as set forth in Table 7-4.2a and rolling 30-day geometric mean targets during summer dry weather (April 1 to October 31).
6 years after effective date of the TMDL	Achieve compliance with allowable exceedance days as set forth in Table 7-4.2a and rolling 30-day geometric mean targets during winter dry weather (November 1 to March 31).

Table 7-4.2a: Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL Implementation Schedule (Dry Weather Only):
Allowable Number of Days that May Exceed Any Single Sample Bacterial Indicator Target for Existing Shoreline Monitoring Stations

Compliance Deadline			3 years after effective date		6 years after effective date	
Station ID	Location Name	Subwatershed	Summer Dry Weather* Apr. 1-Oct. 31		Winter Dry Weather** Nov. 1-Mar. 31	
			Daily sampling (No. days)	Weekly sampling (No. days)	Daily sampling (No. days)	Weekly sampling (No. days)
City of Los Angeles, Environmental Monitoring Division Sites						
S1	Surfrider Beach (breach point) - daily	Malibu Canyon	0	0	3	1
S2	Topanga State Beach	Topanga Canyon	0	0	3	1
S3	Pulga Canyon storm drain - 50 yards east (Will Rogers)	Pulga Canyon	0	0	3	1
S4	Santa Monica Canyon, Will Rogers State Beach	Santa Monica Canyon	0	0	3	1
S5	Santa Monica Municipal Pier - 50 yards southeast	Santa Monica	0	0	3	1
S6	Santa Monica Beach at Pico/Kenter storm drain	Santa Monica	0	0	3	1
S7	Ashland Av. storm drain - 50 yards south (Venice)	Santa Monica	0	0	3	1
S8	Venice City Beach at Windward Av. - 50 yards north	Ballona	0	0	2	1
S10	Ballona Creek entrance - 50 yards south (Dockweiler)	Dockweiler	0	0	3	1
S11	Dockweiler State Beach at Culver Bl.	Dockweiler	0	0	3	1
S12	Imperial Highway storm drain - 50 yards north (Dockweiler)	Dockweiler	0	0	2	1
S13	Manhattan State Beach at 40th Street	Hermosa	0	0	1	1
S14	Manhattan Beach Pier - 50 yards south	Hermosa	0	0	1	1
S15	Hermosa Beach Pier - 50 yards south	Hermosa	0	0	2	1
S16	Redondo Municipal Pier - 50 yards south	Redondo	0	0	3	1
S17	Redondo State Beach at Avenue I	Redondo	0	0	3	1
S18	Malaga Cove, Palos Verdes Estates - daily	Palos Verdes	0	0	1	1
Los Angeles County Department of Health Services Sites						
DHS (010)	Leo Carillo Beach (REFERENCE BEACH)	Arroyo Sequit Canyon	0	0	3	1
DHS (009)	Nicholas Beach	Nicholas Canyon	0	0	0	0
DHS (010a)	Broad Beach	Trancas Canyon	0	0	3	1
DHS (008)	Trancas Beach entrance	Trancas Canyon	0	0	0	0
DHS (007)	Westward Beach, SE end	Zuma Canyon	0	0	0	0
DHS (006)	Paradise Cove	Ramirez Canyon	0	0	3	1
DHS (005)	26810 Latigo Shore Drive	Latigo Canyon	0	0	3	1
DHS (005a)	Corral Beach	Latigo Canyon	0	0	3	1
DHS (004)	Puerto Beach	Corral Canyon	0	0	3	1
DHS (003)	Malibu Point, Malibu Colony Dr.	Malibu Canyon	0	0	3	1
DHS (003a)	Surfrider Beach, Malibu, 50 yds.	Malibu Canyon	0	0	3	1
DHS (002)	Malibu Pier	Malibu Canyon	0	0	3	1
DHS (001a)	Las Flores Beach	Las Flores Canyon	0	0	3	1
DHS (001)	Big Rock Beach	Piedra Gorda Canyon	0	0	3	1
DHS (101)	17200 Pacific Coast Hwy.	Santa Ynez Canyon	0	0	3	1
DHS (102)	Bel Air Bay Club, 16801 Pacific	Santa Ynez Canyon	0	0	3	1
DHS (103)	Temescal Storm Drain	Pulga Canyon	0	0	3	1
DHS (104a)	San Vicente Blvd. extended	Santa Monica	0	0	3	1
DHS (104)	Montana Ave. Storm Drain	Santa Monica	0	0	3	1
DHS (105)	Wilshire Blvd., Santa Monica	Santa Monica	0	0	3	1
DHS (106)	Strand Street extended	Santa Monica	0	0	3	1
DHS (106a)	Ashland Storm Drain	Santa Monica	0	0	3	1
DHS (107)	Venice City Beach at Brooks Av.	Ballona	0	0	3	1
DHS (108)	Venice Pier, Venice	Ballona	0	0	3	1
DHS (109)	Topsail Street extended	Ballona	0	0	3	1
DHS (110)	World Way extended	Dockweiler	0	0	3	1
DHS (111)	Opposite Hyperion Plant, 1 mile	Dockweiler	0	0	3	1
DHS (112)	Grand Avenue extended	Dockweiler	0	0	3	1
DHS (113)	26th Street extended	Hermosa	0	0	0	0
DHS (114)	Herondo Street extended	Hermosa	0	0	3	1
DHS (115)	Topaz Street extended	Redondo	0	0	3	1
County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County Sites						
LACSD1	Long Point	Palos Verdes	0	0	1	1
LACSD2	Abalone Cove	Palos Verdes	0	0	0	0
LACSD3	Portuguese Bend Cove	Palos Verdes	0	0	1	1
LACSD5	Royal Palms	Palos Verdes	0	0	1	1
LACSD6	Wilder Annex	Palos Verdes	0	0	1	1
LACSD7	Cabrillo Beach, oceanside	Palos Verdes	0	0	1	1
LACSDMC	Malaga Cove	Palos Verdes	0	0	1	1
LACSDBC	Bluff Cove	Palos Verdes	0	0	1	1

Notes: The allowable number of exceedance days during winter dry weather is calculated based on the 10th percentile year in terms of non-rain days at the LAX meteorological station.
The number of allowable exceedances during winter dry weather is based on the lesser of (1) the reference system or (2) existing levels of exceedance based on historical shoreline data.
^aDry weather days are defined as those with <0.1 inch of rain and those days not less than 3 days after a rain day. Rain days are defined as those with ≥0.1 inch of rain.
^{a*}A re-opener is scheduled for two years after the effective date of the TMDL in order to re-evaluate the allowable exceedance days during winter dry weather based on additional monitoring data.

Table 7-4.2b. Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL Implementation Schedule (Dry Weather):
Required Reduction in Number of Days Exceeding Single Sample Bacterial Indicator Targets for Existing Shoreline Monitoring Stations

Compliance Deadline		3 years after effective date	6 years after effective date
Location Name	Subwatershed	Summer Dry Weather (Apr. 1- Oct. 31)	Winter Dry Weather (Nov. 1- Mar. 31)*
<i>City of Los Angeles, Environmental Monitoring Division Sites</i>			
Surfrider Beach (breach point) - daily	Malibu Canyon	48	31
Topanga State Beach	Topanga Canyon	10	8
Pulga Canyon storm drain - 50 yards east (Will Rogers)	Pulga Canyon	4	6
Santa Monica Canyon, Will Rogers State Beach	Santa Monica Canyon	36	7
Santa Monica Municipal Pier - 50 yards southeast (Santa Monica)	Santa Monica	54	22
Santa Monica Beach at Pico/Kenter storm drain (Santa Monica)	Santa Monica	15	20
Ashland Av. storm drain - 50 yards south (Venice)	Santa Monica	16	6
Venice City Beach at Windward Av. - 50 yards north	Ballona	3	0
Ballona Creek entrance - 50 yards south (Dockweiler)	Dockweiler	7	3
Dockweiler State Beach at Culver Bl.	Dockweiler	6	1
Imperial Highway storm drain - 50 yards north (Dockweiler)	Dockweiler	7	0
Manhattan State Beach at 40th Street	Hermosa	1	0
Manhattan Beach Pier - 50 yards south	Hermosa	1	0
Hermosa Beach Pier - 50 yards south	Hermosa	2	0
Redondo Municipal Pier - 50 yards south	Redondo	16	9
Redondo State Beach at Avenue I	Redondo	2	0
Malaga Cove, Palos Verdes Estates - daily	Palos Verdes	1	0
<i>Los Angeles County Department of Health Services Sites</i>			
Leo Carrillo Beach (REFERENCE BEACH)	Arroyo Sequit Canyon	0	0
Nicholas Beach	Nicholas Canyon	7	0
Broad Beach	Trancas Canyon	3	3
Trancas Beach entrance	Trancas Canyon	5	0
Westward Beach, SE end	Zuma Canyon	8	0
Paradise Cove	Ramirez Canyon	16	9
25610 Latigo Shore Drive	Latigo Canyon	11	13
Corral Beach	Latigo Canyon	3	5
Puerco Beach	Corral Canyon	0	7
Malibu Point, Malibu Colony Dr.	Malibu Canyon	23	6
Surfrider Beach, Malibu, 50 yds.	Malibu Canyon	58	25
Malibu Pier	Malibu Canyon	42	14
Las Flores Beach	Las Flores Canyon	18	7
Big Rock Beach	Piedra Gorda Canyon	32	20
17200 Pacific Coast Hwy.	Santa Ynez Canyon	3	9
Bel Air Bay Club, 16801 Pacific	Santa Ynez Canyon	14	5
Temescal Storm Drain	Pulga Canyon	17	0
San Vicente Blvd. extended	Santa Monica	7	0
Montana Ave. Storm Drain	Santa Monica	7	0
Wilshire Blvd., Santa Monica	Santa Monica	15	4
Strand Street extended	Santa Monica	8	6
Ashland Storm Drain	Santa Monica	24	2
Venice City Beach at Brooks Av.	Ballona	3	10
Venice Pier, Venice	Ballona	4	0
Topsail Street extended	Ballona	11	0
World Way extended	Dockweiler	5	1
Opposite Hyperion Plant., 1 mile	Dockweiler	3	4
Grand Avenue extended	Dockweiler	8	5
26th Street extended	Hermosa	5	0
Herondo Street extended	Hermosa	5	1
Topaz Street extended	Redondo	8	12
<i>County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County Sites</i>			
Long Point	Palos Verdes	1	0
Abalone Cove	Palos Verdes	1	0
Portuguese Bend Cove	Palos Verdes	1	0
Royal Palms	Palos Verdes	1	0
Wilder Annex	Palos Verdes	1	0
Cabrillo Beach, oceanside	Palos Verdes	1	0
Malaga Cove	Palos Verdes	2	0
Bluff Cove	Palos Verdes	0	0

* A re-opener is scheduled for two years after the effective date of the TMDL in order to re-evaluate the allowable exceedance days and necessary reductions during winter dry weather based on additional monitoring data.
** Required reductions are based on the assumption of daily sampling.

**State of California
California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region**

**RESOLUTION NO. 2002-022
December 12, 2002**

Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) for the Los Angeles Region to Incorporate Implementation Provisions for the Region's Bacteria Objectives and to Incorporate a Wet-Weather Total Maximum Daily Load for Bacteria at Santa Monica Bay Beaches

WHEREAS, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region, finds that:

1. The federal Clean Water Act (CWA) requires the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (Regional Board) to develop water quality standards which include beneficial use designations and criteria to protect beneficial uses for each water body found within its region.
2. The Regional Board carries out its CWA responsibilities through California's Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act and establishes water quality objectives designed to protect beneficial uses contained in the Water Quality Control Plan for the Los Angeles Region (Basin Plan).
3. Section 303(d) of the CWA requires states to identify and to prepare a list of water bodies that do not meet water quality standards and then to establish load and waste load allocations, or a total maximum daily load (TMDL), for each water body that will ensure attainment of water quality standards and then to incorporate those allocations into their water quality control plans.
4. Many of the beaches along Santa Monica Bay were listed on California's 1998 section 303(d) list, due to impairments for coliform or for beach closures associated with bacteria generally. The beaches appeared on the 303(d) list because the elevated bacteria and beach closures prevented full support of the beaches' designated use for water contact recreation (REC-1).
5. A consent decree between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), Heal the Bay, Inc. and BayKeeper, Inc. was approved on March 22, 1999. This court order directs the USEPA to complete TMDLs for all the Los Angeles Region's impaired waters within 13 years. A schedule was established in the consent decree for the completion of 29 TMDLs within 7 years, including completion of a TMDL to reduce bacteria at Santa Monica Bay beaches by March 2002. The remaining TMDLs will be scheduled by Regional Board staff within the 13-year period.
6. The elements of a TMDL are described in 40 CFR 130.2 and 130.7 and section 303(d) of the CWA, as well as in USEPA guidance documents (e.g., USEPA, 1991). A TMDL is defined as "the sum of the individual waste load allocations for point sources and load allocations for nonpoint sources and natural background" (40 CFR 130.2). Regulations further stipulate that TMDLs must be set at "levels necessary to attain and maintain the applicable narrative and numeric water quality standards with seasonal variations and a margin of safety that takes into account any lack of knowledge concerning the relationship between effluent limitations

and water quality” (40 CFR 130.7(c)(1)). The provisions in 40 CFR 130.7 also state that TMDLs shall take into account critical conditions for stream flow, loading and water quality parameters.

7. Upon establishment of TMDLs by the State or USEPA, the State is required to incorporate the TMDLs along with appropriate implementation measures into the State Water Quality Management Plan (40 CFR 130.6(c)(1), 130.7). The Basin Plan and applicable statewide plans serve as the State Water Quality Management Plans governing the watersheds under the jurisdiction of the Regional Board.
8. Santa Monica Bay is located in Los Angeles County, California. The proposed TMDL addresses documented bacteriological water quality impairments at 44 beaches from the Los Angeles/Ventura County line, to the northwest, to Outer Cabrillo Beach, just south of the Palos Verdes Peninsula.
9. The Regional Board is establishing the above-mentioned TMDL to preserve and enhance the water quality at Santa Monica Bay beaches and for the benefit of the 55 million beachgoers, on average, that visit these beaches each year. At stake is the health of swimmers and surfers and associated health costs as well as sizeable revenues to the local and state economy. Estimates are that visitors to Santa Monica Bay beaches spend approximately \$1.7 billion annually.
10. The Regional Board’s goal in establishing the above-mentioned TMDL is to reduce the risk of illness associated with swimming in marine waters contaminated with bacteria. Local and national epidemiological studies compel the conclusion that there is a causal relationship between adverse health effects, such as gastroenteritis and upper respiratory illness, and recreational water quality, as measured by bacteria indicator densities. The water quality objectives on which the TMDL numeric targets are based will ensure that the risk of illness to the public from swimming at Santa Monica Bay beaches generally will be no greater than 19 illnesses per 1,000 swimmers, which is defined by the US EPA as an “acceptable health risk” in marine recreational waters.
11. Interested persons and the public have had reasonable opportunity to participate in review of the amendment to the Basin Plan. Efforts to solicit public review and comment include staff presentations to the Santa Monica Bay Restoration Project’s Bay Watershed Council and Technical Advisory Committee between May 1999 and October 2001 and creation of a Steering Committee in July 1999 to provide input on scientific and technical components of the TMDL with participation by the Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works, County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, Heal the Bay, and Santa Monica Bay Restoration Project.
12. A first draft of the TMDL for bacteria at Santa Monica Bay beaches was released for public comment on November 9, 2001; an interim draft TMDL covering wet weather only was released on June 21, 2002, for discussion at a public workshop; and a public workshop on the draft Wet-Weather TMDL was held on June 27, 2002 at a regularly scheduled Regional Board meeting.
13. A final draft of the Wet-Weather TMDL along with a Notice of Hearing and Notice of Filing were published and circulated 45 days preceding Board action; Regional Board staff responded to oral and written comments received from the public; and the Regional Board

Final – 12/12/02

held a public hearing on September 26, 2002 to consider adoption of the Wet-Weather TMDL.

14. The Regional Board continued the item from the September 26, 2002 Board meeting to the December 12, 2002 Board meeting to give staff time to make revisions based on public comments and Board discussion at the September 26, 2002 Board meeting. Specifically, the Board wanted an implementation program that was reasonable and as short as practicable given the testimony on impairments to the REC-1 beneficial use.
15. The Regional Board recognizes that there are two broad approaches to implementing the TMDL. One approach is an integrated water resources approach that takes a holistic view of regional water resources management by integrating planning for future wastewater, storm water, recycled water, and potable water needs and systems; focuses on beneficial re-use of storm water, including groundwater infiltration, at multiple points throughout a watershed; and addresses multiple pollutants for which Santa Monica Bay or its watershed are listed on the CWA section 303(d) List as impaired. The other approach is a non-integrated water resources approach.

Some responsible jurisdictions and agencies have indicated a preference to take an integrated water resources approach to realize the benefits of re-using storm water to preserve local groundwater resources and to reduce reliance on imported water. The Regional Board recognizes that an integrated water resources approach not only provides water quality benefits to the people of the Los Angeles Region, but also recognizes that the responsible jurisdictions implementing this TMDL can serve a variety of public purposes by adopting an integrated water resources approach. An integrated water resources approach will address multiple pollutants, and as a result, responsible jurisdictions can recognize cost-savings because capital expenses for the integrated approach will implement several TMDLs that address pollutants in storm water. In addition, jurisdictions serve multiple roles for their citizenry, and an integrated approach allows for the incorporation and enhancement of other public goals such as water supply, recycling and storage; environmental justice; parks, greenways and open space; and active and passive recreational and environmental education opportunities.

The Regional Board acknowledges that a longer timeframe is reasonable for an integrated water resources approach because it requires more complicated planning and implementation such as identifying markets for the water and efficiently siting storage and transmission infrastructure within the watershed(s) to realize the multiple benefits of such an approach.

16. Therefore, after considering testimony, the Regional Board directed staff to adjust the implementation provisions of the TMDL to allow for a longer implementation schedule (up to 18 years) only when the responsible jurisdictions and agencies clearly demonstrate their intention to undertake an integrated water resources approach and justify the need for a longer implementation schedule. In contrast, testimony indicated that a shorter implementation schedule (up to 10 years) is reasonable and practicable for non-integrated approaches because the level of planning is not as complicated.
17. A revised draft of the Basin Plan amendment and Tentative Resolution were circulated 45 days preceding Board action. Regional Board staff responded to oral and written comments received from the public on the revised draft. The Regional Board held a second public hearing on December 12, 2002 to consider adoption of the Wet-Weather TMDL.

Final – 12/12/02

18. On October 25, 2001, the Regional Board adopted Resolution 2001-018 establishing revised bacteriological water quality objectives for the Water Contact Recreation (REC-1) beneficial use, and the TMDL is intended to accompany and to implement the revised water quality objectives. The State Water Resources Control Board approved the Regional Board's Basin Plan amendment on July 18, 2002 in State Board Resolution 2002-0142, the Office of Administrative Law approved it on September 19, 2002 in OAL File No. 02-0807-01-S, and the US EPA approved it on September 25, 2002.
19. Under certain circumstances and through the TMDL development process, the Regional Board proposes to implement the aforementioned revised bacteria objectives using either a 'reference system/anti-degradation approach' or a 'natural sources exclusion approach.' As required by the CWA and Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, the Basin Plan includes beneficial uses of waters, water quality objectives to protect those uses, an anti-degradation policy, collectively referred to as water quality standards, and other plans and policies necessary to implement water quality standards. This TMDL and its associated waste load allocations, which will be incorporated into relevant permits, are the vehicles for implementation of the bacteria standards as required under Water Code section 13242.
20. Both the 'reference system/anti-degradation approach' and the 'natural sources exclusion approach' recognize that there are natural sources of bacteria that may cause or contribute to exceedances of the single sample objectives.
21. The Regional Board's intent in implementing the bacteria objectives using a 'reference system/anti-degradation approach' is to ensure that bacteriological water quality is at least as good as that of a reference site and that no degradation of existing bacteriological water quality is permitted where existing bacteriological water quality is better than that of a reference site. The Regional Board's intent in implementing the bacteria objectives using a 'natural sources exclusion approach' is to ensure that all anthropogenic sources of bacteria are controlled such that they do not cause an exceedance of the single sample objectives. These approaches are consistent with state and federal anti-degradation policies (State Board Resolution No. 68-16 and 40 C.F.R. 131.12), while acknowledging that it is not the intent of the Regional Board to require treatment or diversion of natural coastal creeks or to require treatment of natural sources of bacteria from undeveloped areas. While treatment and diversion of natural sources may fully address the impairment of the water contact recreation beneficial use, such an approach may adversely affect valuable aquatic life and wildlife beneficial uses in the Region.
22. For the Wet-Weather and Dry-Weather Bacteria TMDLs at Santa Monica Bay beaches, Leo Carrillo Beach and its associated drainage area, Arroyo Sequit Canyon, were selected as the local reference system until other reference sites or approaches are evaluated and the necessary data collected to support the use of alternative reference sites or approaches when the TMDL is revised four years after the effective date. Leo Carrillo Beach was selected as the interim reference site because it best met the three criteria for selection of a reference system. Specifically, its drainage is the most undeveloped subwatershed in the larger Santa Monica Bay watershed, the subwatershed has a freshwater outlet (i.e., creek) to the beach, and adequate historical shoreline monitoring data were available. It is the intent of the Regional Board to re-evaluate the use of Leo Carrillo Beach due to potential problems arising from the heavy recreational use of the beach and the close proximity of two campgrounds.
23. Northern Bay beach monitoring sites are fewer in number and provide less comprehensive data than the extensive shoreline monitoring network elsewhere in Santa Monica Bay.

24. The numeric targets in this TMDL are not water quality objectives and do not create new bases for enforcement against dischargers apart from the water quality objectives they translate. The targets merely establish the bases through which load allocations and wasteload allocations (WLAs) are calculated. WLAs are only enforced for a discharger's own discharges, and then only in the context of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit, which must be consistent with the assumptions and requirements of the WLA. The Regional Board will develop permit requirements through a subsequent permit action that will allow all interested persons, including but not limited to municipal storm water dischargers, to provide comments on how the waste load allocations will be translated into permit requirements.
25. The Regional Board has the authority to authorize compliance schedules through the basin planning process. In this Basin Plan amendment, the Regional Board establishes a schedule for implementation that affords the responsible jurisdictions and agencies up to ten or eighteen years, depending on the implementation approaches pursued, to implement this Wet-Weather Bacteria TMDL.
26. Previously, the Regional Board adopted a Dry-Weather Bacteria TMDL for the Santa Monica Bay Beaches. The Dry-Weather TMDL includes implementation provisions contained in Table 7-4.3 of the Basin Plan, including a provision to reconsider two years after the effective date the Dry-Weather TMDL and specifically the reference beach(es) used. Because that effort overlaps with reconsideration of the reference beach(es) anticipated by this Wet-Weather TMDL, the Regional Board proposes to coordinate the reconsiderations of the reference beach approach to assure efficiency and consistency in implementing the two Santa Monica Beaches TMDLs.
27. The basin planning process has been certified as functionally equivalent to the California Environmental Quality Act requirements for preparing environmental documents (Public Resources Code, Section 21000 et seq.) and as such, the required environmental documentation and CEQA environmental checklist have been prepared.
28. The proposed amendment results in no potential for adverse effect (de minimis finding), either individually or cumulatively, on wildlife.
29. The regulatory action meets the "Necessity" standard of the Administrative Procedures Act, Government Code, section 11353, subdivision (b).
30. The Basin Plan amendment incorporating a TMDL for bacteria at Santa Monica Bay beaches must be submitted for review and approval by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board), the State Office of Administrative Law (OAL), and the USEPA. The Basin Plan amendment will become effective upon approval by OAL and USEPA. A Notice of Decision will be filed.

THEREFORE, be it resolved that pursuant to Section 13240 and 13242 of the Water Code, the Regional Board hereby amends the Basin Plan as follows:

1. Pursuant to sections 13240 and 13242 of the California Water Code, the Regional Board, after considering the entire record, including oral testimony at the hearing, hereby adopts the amendments to Chapters 3 and 7 of the Water Quality Control Plan for the Los Angeles Region, as set forth in Attachment A hereto, to incorporate the elements of the Santa Monica

Final – 12/12/02

Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL for wet weather and to implement the water quality objectives for bacteria set to protect the water contact recreation beneficial use.

2. Pursuant to sections 13240 and 13242 of the California Water Code, the Regional Board, after considering the entire record, including oral testimony at the hearing, hereby adopts the amendments to Chapter 7 of the Water Quality Control Plan for the Los Angeles Region, as set forth in Attachment B hereto, to amend Table 7-4.3 of the Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL for dry weather to change the date for revision of the TMDL from two years after the effective date to four years after the effective date [of the Wet-Weather TMDL] to achieve consistency in scheduling between the Dry-Weather and Wet-Weather TMDLs.
3. The Executive Officer is directed to exercise authority under Water Code section 13267, or other applicable law, to require additional monitoring data in the northern Bay beach regions to ensure that wet weather bacteria exposure is adequately quantified before the TMDL is reconsidered in four years.
4. The Executive Officer is directed to forward copies of the Basin Plan amendment to the State Board in accordance with the requirements of section 13245 of the California Water Code.
5. The Regional Board requests that the State Board approve the Basin Plan amendment in accordance with the requirements of sections 13245 and 13246 of the California Water Code and forward it to OAL and the USEPA.
6. If during its approval process the State Board or OAL determines that minor, non-substantive corrections to the language of the amendment are needed for clarity or consistency, the Executive Officer may make such changes, and shall inform the Board of any such changes.
7. The Executive Officer is authorized to sign a Certificate of Fee Exemption.

I, Dennis A. Dickerson, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region, on December 12, 2002.

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY
Dennis A. Dickerson
Executive Officer

Final – 12/12/02

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022
Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan – Los Angeles Region to incorporate
Implementation Provisions for the Region's Bacteria Objectives and to incorporate the
Santa Monica Bay Beaches Wet-Weather Bacteria TMDL

Adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region on December 12, 2002.

Amendments:

List of Figures, Tables and Inserts

Add under Chapter 7, Section 7-4 (Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL):

Tables

7-4.4. Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Wet Weather Only): Elements

7-4.5. Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Wet Weather Only): Final Allowable
Exceedance Days by Beach Location

7-4.6. Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Wet Weather Only): Interim Compliance
Targets by Jurisdictional Groups

7-4.7. Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Wet Weather Only): Significant Dates

Chapter 3. Water Quality Objectives, "Bacteria, Coliform"

Add under "Implementation Provisions for Water Contact Recreation Bacteria Objectives"

The single sample bacteriological objectives shall be strictly applied except when provided for in a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL). In all circumstances, including in the context of a TMDL, the geometric mean objectives shall be strictly applied. In the context of a TMDL, the Regional Board may implement the single sample objectives in fresh and marine waters by using a 'reference system/antidegradation approach' or 'natural sources exclusion approach' as discussed below. A reference system is defined as an area and associated monitoring point that is not impacted by human activities that potentially affect bacteria densities in the receiving water body.

These approaches recognize that there are natural sources of bacteria, which may cause or contribute to exceedances of the single sample objectives for bacterial indicators. They also acknowledge that it is not the intent of the Regional Board to require treatment or diversion of natural water bodies or to require treatment of natural sources of bacteria from undeveloped areas. Such requirements, if imposed by the Regional Board, could adversely affect valuable aquatic life and wildlife beneficial uses supported by natural water bodies in the Region.

Under the reference system/antidegradation implementation procedure, a certain frequency of exceedance of the single sample objectives above shall be permitted on the basis of the observed exceedance frequency in the selected reference system or the targeted water body, whichever is less. The reference system/anti-degradation approach ensures that bacteriological water quality is at least as good as that of a reference system and that no degradation of existing bacteriological water quality is permitted where existing bacteriological water quality is better than that of the selected reference system.

Under the natural sources exclusion implementation procedure, after all anthropogenic sources of bacteria have been controlled such that they do not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the single sample objectives and natural sources have been identified and quantified, a certain frequency of exceedance of the single sample objectives shall be permitted based on the residual exceedance frequency in the specific water body. The residual exceedance frequency shall define the background level of exceedance due to natural sources. The 'natural sources exclusion' approach may be used if an appropriate reference system cannot be identified due to unique characteristics of the target water body. These approaches are

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

consistent with the State Antidegradation Policy (State Board Resolution No. 68-16) and with federal antidegradation requirements (40 CFR 131.12).

The appropriateness of these approaches and the specific exceedance frequencies to be permitted under each will be evaluated within the context of TMDL development for a specific water body, at which time the Regional Board may select one of these approaches, if appropriate.

These implementation procedures may only be implemented within the context of a TMDL addressing municipal storm water, including the municipal storm water requirements of the Statewide Permit for Storm Water Discharges from the State of California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), and non-point sources discharges. These implementation provisions do not apply to NPDES discharges other than MS4 discharges.¹

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) Summaries, Section 7-4 (Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL)

Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Wet Weather Only)*

This TMDL was adopted by the Regional Water Quality Control Board on December 12, 2002.

This TMDL was approved by:

The State Water Resources Control Board on [Insert Date].

The Office of Administrative Law on [Insert Date].

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on [Insert Date].

The following table summarizes the key elements of this TMDL.

¹ Municipal storm water discharges in the Los Angeles Region are those with permits under the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) NPDES Program. For example, the MS4 permits at the time of this amendment are the Los Angeles County Municipal Storm Water NPDES Permit, Ventura County Municipal Storm Water NPDES Permit, City of Long Beach Municipal Storm Water NPDES Permit, and elements of the statewide storm water permit for the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans).

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Table 7-4.4. Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Wet Weather Only): Elements

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
Problem Statement	Elevated bacterial indicator densities are causing impairment of the water contact recreation (REC-1) beneficial use at many Santa Monica Bay (SMB) beaches. Swimming in waters with elevated bacterial indicator densities has long been associated with adverse health effects. Specifically, local and national epidemiological studies compel the conclusion that there is a causal relationship between adverse health effects and recreational water quality, as measured by bacterial indicator densities.
Numeric Target (Interpretation of the numeric water quality objective, used to calculate the waste load allocations)	<p>The TMDL has a multi-part numeric target based on the bacteriological water quality objectives for marine water to protect the water contact recreation (REC-1) use. These targets are the most appropriate indicators of public health risk in recreational waters.</p> <p>These bacteriological objectives are set forth in Chapter 3 of the Basin Plan, as amended by the Regional Board on October 25, 2001. The objectives are based on four bacterial indicators and include both geometric mean limits and single sample limits. The Basin Plan objectives that serve as numeric targets for this TMDL are:</p> <p><u>1. Rolling 30-day Geometric Mean Limits</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Total coliform density shall not exceed 1,000/100 ml. b. Fecal coliform density shall not exceed 200/100 ml. c. Enterococcus density shall not exceed 35/100 ml. <p><u>2. Single Sample Limits</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Total coliform density shall not exceed 10,000/100 ml. b. Fecal coliform density shall not exceed 400/100 ml. c. Enterococcus density shall not exceed 104/100 ml. d. Total coliform density shall not exceed 1,000/100 ml, if the ratio of fecal-to-total coliform exceeds 0.1. <p>These objectives are generally based on an acceptable health risk for marine recreational waters of 19 illnesses per 1,000 exposed individuals as set by the US EPA (US EPA, 1986). The targets apply throughout the year. The final compliance point for the targets is the wave wash² where there is a freshwater outlet (i.e., publicly-owned storm drain or natural creek) to the beach, or at ankle depth at beaches without a freshwater outlet.</p> <p>Implementation of the above bacteria objectives and the associated TMDL numeric targets is achieved using a 'reference system/anti-degradation approach' rather than the alternative 'natural sources exclusion approach' or strict application of the single sample objectives. As required by the CWA and Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, Basin Plans include beneficial uses of waters, water quality objectives to protect those uses, an anti-degradation policy, collectively referred to as water quality standards, and other plans and policies necessary to implement water quality standards. This TMDL and its associated waste load allocations, which shall be incorporated into relevant permits, are the vehicles for implementation of the Region's</p>

² The wave wash is defined as the point at which the storm drain or creek empties and the effluent from the storm drain initially mixes with the receiving ocean water.

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
	<p>standards.</p> <p>The ‘reference system/anti-degradation approach’ means that on the basis of historical exceedance levels at existing shoreline monitoring locations, including a local reference beach within Santa Monica Bay, a certain number of daily exceedances of the single sample bacteria objectives are permitted. The allowable number of exceedance days is set such that (1) bacteriological water quality at any site is at least as good as at a designated reference site within the watershed and (2) there is no degradation of existing shoreline bacteriological water quality. This approach recognizes that there are natural sources of bacteria that may cause or contribute to exceedances of the single sample objectives and that it is not the intent of the Regional Board to require treatment or diversion of natural coastal creeks or to require treatment of natural sources of bacteria from undeveloped areas.</p> <p>The geometric mean targets may not be exceeded at any time. The rolling 30-day geometric means will be calculated on each day. If weekly sampling is conducted, the weekly sample result will be assigned to the remaining days of the week in order to calculate the daily rolling 30-day geometric mean. For the single sample targets, each existing shoreline monitoring site is assigned an allowable number of exceedance days during wet weather, defined as days with 0.1 inch of rain or greater and the three days following the rain event. (A separate amendment incorporating the Santa Monica Bay Beaches Dry-Weather Bacteria TMDL addressed the allowable number of summer and winter dry-weather exceedance days.)</p>
<i>Source Analysis</i>	<p>With the exception of isolated sewage spills, storm water runoff conveyed by storm drains and creeks is the primary source of elevated bacterial indicator densities to SMB beaches during wet weather. Because the bacterial indicators used as targets in the TMDL are not specific to human sewage, storm water runoff from undeveloped areas may also be a source of elevated bacterial indicator densities. For example, storm water runoff from natural areas may convey fecal matter from wildlife and birds or bacteria from soil. This is supported by the finding that, at the reference beach, the probability of exceedance of the single sample targets during wet weather is 0.22.</p>
<i>Loading Capacity</i>	<p>Studies show that bacterial degradation and dilution during transport from the watershed to the beach do not significantly affect bacterial indicator densities at SMB beaches. Therefore, the loading capacity is defined in terms of bacterial indicator densities, which is the most appropriate for addressing public health risk, and is equivalent to the numeric targets, listed above. As the numeric targets must be met in the wave wash and throughout the day, no degradation allowance is provided.</p>
<i>Waste Load Allocations (for point sources)</i>	<p>Waste load allocations are expressed as the number of sample days at a shoreline monitoring site that may exceed the single sample targets identified under “Numeric Target.” Waste load allocations are expressed as allowable exceedance days because the bacterial density and frequency of single sample exceedances are the most relevant to public health protection.</p>

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
	<p>For each shoreline monitoring site and corresponding subwatershed, an allowable number of exceedance days is set for wet weather.</p> <p>The allowable number of exceedance days for a shoreline monitoring site for each time period is based on the lesser of two criteria (1) exceedance days in the designated reference system and (2) exceedance days based on historical bacteriological data at the monitoring site. This ensures that shoreline bacteriological water quality is at least as good as that of a largely undeveloped system and that there is no degradation of existing shoreline bacteriological water quality.</p> <p>All responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies³ within a subwatershed are jointly responsible for complying with the allowable number of exceedance days for each associated shoreline monitoring site identified in Table 7-4.5 below.</p> <p>The three Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs), the City of Los Angeles' Hyperion Wastewater Treatment Plant, Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts' Joint Water Pollution Control Plant, and the Las Virgenes Municipal Water Districts' Tapia Wastewater Reclamation Facility, discharging to Santa Monica Bay are each given individual WLAs of zero (0) days of exceedance during wet weather.</p>

³ For the purposes of this TMDL, "responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies" are defined as: (1) local agencies that are responsible for discharges from a publicly owned treatment works to the Santa Monica Bay watershed or directly to the Bay, (2) local agencies that are permittees or co-permittees on a municipal storm water permit, (3) local or state agencies that have jurisdiction over a beach adjacent to Santa Monica Bay, and (4) the California Department of Transportation pursuant to its storm water permit.

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
Load Allocations (for nonpoint sources)	Because all storm water runoff to SMB beaches is regulated as a point source, load allocations of zero days of exceedance are set in this TMDL. If a nonpoint source is directly impacting shoreline bacteriological quality and causing an exceedance of the numeric target(s), the permittee(s) under the Municipal Storm Water NPDES Permits are not responsible through these permits. However, the jurisdiction or agency adjacent to the shoreline monitoring location may have further obligations as described under "Compliance Monitoring" below.
Implementation	<p>The regulatory mechanisms used to implement the TMDL will include primarily the Los Angeles County Municipal Storm Water NPDES Permit (MS4 Permit), the Caltrans Storm Water Permit, the three NPDES permits for the POTWs, the authority contained in sections 13267 and 13263 of the Water Code, and regulations to be adopted pursuant to section 13291 of the Water Code. Each NPDES permit assigned a waste load allocation shall be reopened or amended at reissuance, in accordance with applicable laws, to incorporate the applicable waste load allocation(s) as a permit requirement.</p> <p>The implementation schedule will be determined on the basis of the implementation plan(s), which must be submitted to the Regional Board by responsible jurisdictions and agencies within two years of the effective date of the TMDL (see Table 7-4.7). After considering the implementation plan(s), the Regional Board shall amend the TMDL at a public hearing and, in doing so, will adopt an individual implementation schedule for each jurisdictional group (described in paragraph 3 below) that is as short as possible taking into account the implementation approach being undertaken. Responsible jurisdictions and agencies must clearly demonstrate in the above-mentioned plan whether they intend to pursue an integrated water resources approach.⁴ If an integrated water resources approach is pursued, responsible jurisdictions and agencies may be allotted up to an 18-year implementation timeframe, based on a clear demonstration of the need for a longer schedule in the implementation plan, in recognition of the additional planning and time needed to achieve the multiple benefits of this approach. Otherwise, at most a 10-year implementation timeframe will be allotted, depending upon a clear demonstration of the time needed in the implementation plan.</p> <p>The subwatersheds associated with each beach monitoring location may</p>

⁴ An integrated water resources approach is one that takes a holistic view of regional water resources management by integrating planning for future wastewater, storm water, recycled water, and potable water needs and systems; focuses on beneficial re-use of storm water, including groundwater infiltration, at multiple points throughout a watershed; and addresses multiple pollutants for which Santa Monica Bay or its watershed are listed on the CWA section 303(d) List as impaired. Because an integrated water resources approach will address multiple pollutants, responsible jurisdictions can recognize cost-savings because capital expenses for the integrated approach will implement several TMDLs that address pollutants in storm water. An integrated water resources approach shall not only provide water quality benefits to the people of the Los Angeles Region, but it is also anticipated that an integrated approach will incorporate and enhance other public goals. These may include, but are not limited to, water supply, recycling and storage; environmental justice; parks, greenways and open space; and active and passive recreational and environmental education opportunities.

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
	<p>include multiple responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies. Therefore, a “primary jurisdiction,” defined as the jurisdiction comprising greater than fifty percent of the subwatershed land area, is identified for each subwatershed (see Table 7-4.6).⁵ Seven primary jurisdictions are identified within the Santa Monica Bay watershed, each with a group of associated subwatersheds and beach monitoring locations. These are identified as “jurisdictional groups” (see Table 7-4.6). The primary jurisdiction of each “jurisdictional group” shall be responsible for submitting the implementation plan described above, which will determine the implementation timeframe for the subwatershed. A jurisdictional group may change its primary jurisdiction by submitting a joint, written request, submitted by the current primary jurisdiction and the proposed primary jurisdiction, to the Executive Officer requesting a reassignment of primary responsibility. Two jurisdictional groups may also choose to change the assignment of monitoring locations between the two groups by submitting a joint, written request, submitted by the current primary jurisdiction and the proposed primary jurisdiction, to the Executive Officer requesting a reassignment of the monitoring location.</p> <p>If an integrated water resources approach is pursued, the jurisdictional group(s) must achieve a 10% cumulative percentage reduction from the total exceedance-day reduction required for the group of beach monitoring locations within 6 years, a 25% reduction within 10 years, and a 50% reduction within 15 years of the effective date of the TMDL. These interim milestones for the jurisdictional group(s) will be re-evaluated, considering planning, engineering and construction tasks, based on the written implementation plan submitted to the Regional Board two years after the effective date of the TMDL (see Table 7-4.7).</p> <p>If an integrated water resources approach is not pursued, the jurisdictional group(s) must achieve a 25% cumulative percentage reduction from the total exceedance-day reduction required for the group of beach monitoring locations within 6 years, and a 50% reduction within 8 years of the effective date of the TMDL (see Table 7-4.7).</p> <p>For those beach monitoring locations subject to the antidegradation provision, there shall be no increase in exceedance days during the implementation period above that estimated for the beach monitoring location in the critical year as identified in Table 7-4.5.</p> <p>The final implementation targets in terms of allowable wet-weather exceedance days must be achieved at each individual beach location no later than 18 years after the TMDL’s effective date if an integrated water resources approach is pursued, or no later than 10 years after the TMDL’s effective date if an integrated water resources approach is not pursued. In addition, the geometric mean targets must be achieved for each individual beach location no later than 18 years or 10 years after the effective date, respectively, depending on whether a integrated</p>

⁵ Primary jurisdictions are not defined for the Ballona Creek subwatershed or the Malibu Creek subwatershed, since separate bacteria TMDLs are being developed for these subwatersheds.

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
	water resources approach is pursued or not.

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
<i>Margin of Safety</i>	<p>The TMDL is set at levels that are exactly equivalent to the applicable water quality standards along with the proposed reference system/antidegradation implementation procedure.</p> <p>An implicit margin of safety is included in the supporting water quality model by assuming no dilution between the storm drain and the wave wash, the point of compliance. This is a conservative assumption since studies have shown that there is a high degree of variability in the amount of dilution between the storm drain and wave wash temporally, spatially and among indicators, ranging from 100% to 0%.</p>
<i>Seasonal Variations and Critical Conditions</i>	<p>Seasonal variations are addressed by developing separate waste load allocations for three time periods (wet weather, summer dry weather and winter dry weather) based on public health concerns and observed natural background levels of exceedance of bacterial indicators. (The two dry-weather periods are addressed in the Santa Monica Bay Beaches Dry-Weather Bacteria TMDL.)</p> <p>The critical condition for this bacteria TMDL is wet weather generally, when historic shoreline monitoring data for the reference beach indicate that the single sample bacteria objectives are exceeded on 22% of the wet-weather days sampled. To more specifically identify a critical condition within wet weather in order to set the allowable exceedance days shown in Tables 7-4.5 and 7-4.6, the 90th percentile 'storm year'⁶ in terms of wet days is used as the reference year. Selecting the 90th percentile year avoids a situation where the reference beach is frequently out of compliance. It is expected that because responsible jurisdictions and agencies will be planning for this 'worst-case' scenario, there will be fewer exceedance days than the maximum allowed in drier years. Conversely, in the 10% of wetter years, it is expected that there may be more than the allowable number of exceedance days.</p>
<i>Compliance Monitoring</i>	<p>Responsible jurisdictions and agencies as defined in Footnote 2 shall conduct daily or systematic weekly sampling in the wave wash at all major drains⁷ and creeks or at existing monitoring stations at beaches without storm drains or freshwater outlets to determine compliance.⁸ At all locations, samples shall be taken at ankle depth and on an incoming wave. At locations where there is a freshwater outlet, during wet weather, samples should be taken as close as possible to the wave wash, and no further away than 10 meters down current of the storm drain or outlet.⁹ At locations where there is a freshwater outlet, samples shall be taken when the freshwater outlet is flowing into the surf zone.</p> <p>If the number of exceedance days is greater than the allowable number of exceedance days for any jurisdictional group at the interim implementation milestones the responsible jurisdictions and agencies</p>

⁶ For purposes of this TMDL, a 'storm year' means November 1 to October 31. The 90th percentile storm year was 1993 with 75 wet days at the LAX meteorological station.

⁷ Major drains are those that are publicly owned and have measurable flow to the beach during dry weather.

⁸ The frequency of sampling (i.e., daily versus weekly) will be at the discretion of the implementing agencies. However, the number of sample days that may exceed the objectives will be scaled accordingly.

⁹ Safety considerations during wet weather may preclude taking a sample in the wave wash.

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
	<p>shall be considered out-of-compliance with the TMDL. If the number of exceedance days exceeds the allowable number of exceedance days for a target beach at the final implementation deadline, the responsible jurisdictions and agencies within the contributing subwatershed shall be considered out-of-compliance with the TMDL. Responsible jurisdictions or agencies shall not be deemed out of compliance with the TMDL if the investigation described in the paragraph below demonstrates that bacterial sources originating within the jurisdiction of the responsible agency have not caused or contributed to the exceedance.</p> <p>If a single sample shows the discharge or contributing area to be out of compliance, the Regional Board may require, through permit requirements or the authority contained in Water Code section 13267, daily sampling in the wave wash or at the existing open shoreline monitoring location (if it is not already) until all single sample events meet bacteria water quality objectives. Furthermore, if a beach location is out-of-compliance as determined in the previous paragraph, the Regional Board shall require responsible agencies to initiate an investigation, which at a minimum shall include daily sampling in the wave wash or at the existing open shoreline monitoring location until all single sample events meet bacteria water quality objectives. If bacteriological water quality objectives are exceeded in any three weeks of a four-week period when weekly sampling is performed, or, for areas where testing is done more than once a week, 75% of testing days produce an exceedance of bacteria water quality objectives, the responsible agencies shall conduct a source investigation of the subwatershed(s) pursuant to protocols established under Water Code 13178. If a beach location without a freshwater outlet is out-of-compliance or if the outlet is diverted or being treated, the adjacent municipality, County agency(s), or State or federal agency(s) shall be responsible for conducting the investigation and shall submit its findings to the Regional Board to facilitate the Regional Board exercising further authority to regulate the source of the exceedance in conformance with the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act.</p>

Note: The complete staff report for the TMDL is available for review upon request.

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Table 7-4.5. Final Allowable Wet-Weather Exceedance Days by Beach Location

Beach Monitoring Location	Estimated no. of wet weather exceedance days in critical year (90 th percentile)*	Final allowable no. of wet weather exceedance days (daily sampling)*
DHS 010 - Leo Carrillo Beach, at 35000 PCH	17	17
DHS 009 - Nicholas Beach- 100 feet west of lifeguard tower	14	14
DHS 010a - Broad Beach	15	15
DHS 008 - Trancas Beach entrance, 50 yards east of Trancas Bridge	19	17
DHS 007 - Westward Beach, east of Zuma Creek	17	17
DHS 006 - Paradise Cove, adjacent to west side of Pier	23	17
DHS 005 - Latigo Canyon Creek entrance	33	17
DHS 005a - Corral State Beach	17	17
DHS 001a - Las Flores Beach	29	17
DHS 001 - Big Rock Beach, at 19900 PCH	30	17
DHS 003 - Malibu Point	18	17
DHS 003a - Surfrider Beach (second point)- weekly	45	17
S1 - Surfrider Beach (breach point)- daily	47	17
DHS 002 - Malibu Pier- 50 yards east	45	17
S2 - Topanga State Beach	26	17
DHS 101 - PCH and Sunset Bl.- 400 yards east	25	17
DHS 102 - 16801 Pacific Coast Highway, Bel Air Bay Club (chain fence)	28	17
S3 - Pulga Canyon storm drain- 50 yards east	23	17
DHS 103 - Will Rogers State Beach- Temescal Canyon (25 yds. so. of drain)	31	17
S4 - Santa Monica Canyon, Will Rogers State Beach	25	17
DHS 104a - Santa Monica Beach at San Vicente Bl.	34	17
DHS 104 - Santa Monica at Montana Av. (25 yds. so. of drain)	31	17
DHS 105 - Santa Monica at Arizona (in front of the drain)	31	17
S5 - Santa Monica Municipal Pier- 50 yards southeast	35	17
S6 - Santa Monica Beach at Pico/Kenter storm drain	42	17
DHS 106 - Santa Monica Beach at Strand St. (in front of the restrooms)	36	17
DHS 106a - Ashland Av. storm drain- 50 yards north	39	17
S7 - Ashland Av. storm drain- 50 yards south	22	17
DHS 107 - Venice City Beach at Brooks Av. (in front of the drain)	40	17

Final – 12/12/02

11

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Beach Monitoring Location	Estimated no. of wet weather exceedance days in critical year (90 th percentile)*	Final allowable no. of wet weather exceedance days (daily sampling)*
S8 - Venice City Beach at Windward Av.- 50 yards north	13	13
DHS 108 - Venice Fishing Pier- 50 yards south	17	17
DHS 109 - Venice City Beach at Topsail St.	38	17
S11 - Dockweiler State Beach at Culver Bl.	23	17
DHS 110 - Dockweiler State Beach- south of D&W jetty	30	17
S12 - Imperial HWY storm drain- 50 yards north	17	17
DHS 111 - Hyperion Treatment Plant One Mile Outfall	18	17
DHS 112 - Dockweiler State Beach at Grand Av. (in front of the drain)	25	17
S10 - Ballona Creek entrance- 50 yards south	34	17
S13 - Manhattan State Beach at 40th Street	4	4
S14 - Manhattan Beach Pier- 50 yards south	5	5
DHS 114 - Hermosa City Beach at 26th St.	12	12
S15 - Hermosa Beach Pier- 50 yards south	8	8
DHS 115 - Herondo Street storm drain- (in front of the drain)	19	17
S16 - Redondo Municipal Pier- 50 yards south	14	14
DHS 116 - Redondo State Beach at Topaz St. - north of jetty	19	17
S17 - Redondo State Beach at Avenue I	6	6
S18 - Malaga Cove, Palos Verdes Estates-daily	3	3
LACSDM - Malaga Cove, Palos Verdes Estates-weekly	14	14
LACSDB - Palos Verdes (Bluff) Cove, Palos Verdes Estates	0	0
LACSD1 - Long Point, Rancho Palos Verdes	5	5
LACSD2 - Abalone Cove Shoreline Park	1	1
LACSD3 - Portuguese Bend Cove, Rancho Palos Verdes	2	2
LACSD5 - Royal Palms State Beach	6	6
LACSD6 - Wilder Annex, San Pedro	2	2
LACSD7 - Cabrillo Beach, oceanside	3	3

Notes: * The compliance targets are based on existing shoreline monitoring data and assume daily sampling. If systematic weekly sampling is conducted, the compliance targets will be scaled accordingly. These are the compliance targets until additional shoreline monitoring data are collected prior to revision of the TMDL. Once additional shoreline monitoring data are available, the following will be re-evaluated when the TMDL is revised 1) estimated number of wet-weather exceedance days in the critical year at all beach locations, including the reference system(s) and 2) final allowable wet-weather exceedance days for each beach location.

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Table 7-4.6. Interim Compliance Targets by Jurisdictional Group

Jurisdiction Group	Primary Jurisdiction	Additional Responsible Jurisdictions & Agencies	Subwatershed(s)	Monitoring Site(s)**	Interim Compliance Targets as Maximum Allowable Exceedance Days during Wet Weather***		
					10% Reduction Milestone	25% Reduction Milestone	50% Reduction Milestone
1	County of Los Angeles	Caltrans Malibu City of Los Angeles (Topanga only) Calabasas (Topanga only)	Arroyo Sequit	DHS 010	221	212	197
			Carbon Canyon	none			
			Corral Canyon	DHS 005a			
			Encinal Canyon	DHS 010a [#]			
			Escondido Canyon	none			
			Las Flores Canyon	DHS 001a			
			Latigo Canyon	DHS 005			
			Los Alisos Canyon	none			
			Pena Canyon	none			
			Piedra Gorda Canyon	DHS 001			
			Ramirez Canyon	DHS 006			
			Solstice Canyon	none			
			Topanga Canyon	S2			
			Trancas Canyon	DHS 008			
			Tuna Canyon	none			
			Zuma Canyon	DHS 007			
2	City of Los Angeles	Caltrans County of Los Angeles El Segundo (DW only) Manhattan Beach (DW only) Culver City (MDR only) Santa Monica	Castlerock	none	342	324	294
			Dockweiler	S11, DHS 110, S12, DHS 111, DHS 112			
			Marina del Rey	DHS 107, S8 [#] , DHS 108, DHS 109			
			Pulga Canyon	S3, DHS 103			
			Santa Monica Canyon	S4			
			Santa Ynez Canyon	DHS 101, DHS 102			

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Jurisdiction Group	Primary Jurisdiction	Additional Responsible Jurisdictions & Agencies	Subwatershed(s)	Monitoring Site(s) ^{***}	Interim Compliance Targets as Maximum Allowable Exceedance Days during Wet Weather ^{***}		
					10% Reduction Milestone	25% Reduction Milestone	50% Reduction Milestone
3	Santa Monica	Caltrans City of Los Angeles County of Los Angeles	Santa Monica	DHS 104a, DHS 104, DHS 105, S5, S6, DHS 106, DHS 106a, S7	257	237	203
4	Malibu	Caltrans County of Los Angeles	Nicholas Canyon	DHS 009 [#]	14	14	14
5	Manhattan Beach	Caltrans El Segundo Hermosa Beach Redondo Beach	Hermosa	S13 [#] , S14 [#] , DHS 114 [#] , S15 [#]	29	29	29
6	Redondo Beach	Caltrans Hermosa Beach Manhattan Beach Torrance County of Los Angeles	Redondo	DHS 115, S16 [#] , DHS 116, S17 [#]	58	57	56

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Jurisdiction Group	Primary Jurisdiction	Additional Responsible Jurisdictions & Agencies	Subwatershed(s)	Monitoring Site(s)**	Interim Compliance Targets as Maximum Allowable Exceedance Days during Wet Weather***		
					10% Reduction Milestone	25% Reduction Milestone	50% Reduction Milestone
7	Rancho Palos Verdes	Caltrans City of Los Angeles Palos Verdes Estates Redondo Beach Rolling Hills Rolling Hills Estates Torrance County of Los Angeles	Palos Verdes Peninsula	S18 [#] , LACSDM [#] , LACSDB [#] , LACSD1 [#] , LACSD2 [#] , LACSD3 [#] , LACSD5 [#] , LACSD6 [#] , LACSD7 [#]	36	36	36

Notes: *Interim milestones will be re-calculated during the revision of the TMDL based on shoreline monitoring data collected from the wave wash and a re-evaluation of the most appropriate reference system and reference year. Furthermore, if an integrated water resources approach is pursued, as demonstrated by the implementation plans to be submitted to the Regional Board by the primary jurisdictions within two years of the effective date of the TMDL, the interim milestones will be re-evaluated on the basis of the implementation plan, considering planning, engineering and construction tasks. **Interim milestones for the Malibu and Ballona shoreline monitoring locations will be identified in subsequent bacteria TMDLs to be developed for these two watersheds. ***Monitoring sites are those shoreline locations currently monitored by the City of Los Angeles, County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, and the Los Angeles County Department of Health Services at the time of adoption of this TMDL by the Regional Board. This list does not preclude the establishment of additional monitoring stations. For those subwatersheds without an existing shoreline monitoring site, responsible jurisdictions and agencies must establish a shoreline monitoring site if there is measurable flow from a creek or publicly owned storm drain to the beach during dry weather. # For those beach monitoring locations subject to the antidegradation provision, there shall be no increase in exceedance days during the implementation period above that estimated for the beach monitoring location in the critical year as identified in Table 7-4.5.

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Table 7-4.7. Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Wet Weather Only): Significant Dates

Date	Action
120 days after the effective date of the TMDL	Pursuant to a request from the Regional Board, responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies must submit coordinated shoreline monitoring plan(s) to be approved by the Executive Officer, including a list of new sites* and/or sites relocated to the wave wash at which time responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies shall select between daily or systematic weekly shoreline sampling.
20 months after the effective date of the TMDL	Responsible jurisdictions and agencies shall provide a draft written report to the Regional Board outlining how each intends to cooperatively (through Jurisdictional Groups) achieve compliance with the TMDL. The report shall include implementation methods, an implementation schedule, and proposed milestones.
Two years after effective date of TMDL	Responsible jurisdictions and agencies shall provide a written report to the Regional Board outlining how each intends to cooperatively (through Jurisdictional Groups) achieve compliance with the TMDL. The report shall include implementation methods, an implementation schedule, and proposed milestones. Under no circumstances shall final compliance dates exceed 10 years for non-integrated approaches or 18 years for integrated water resources approaches. Regional Board staff shall bring to the Regional Board the aforementioned plans as soon as possible for consideration.
4 years after effective date of TMDL	<p>The Regional Board shall reconsider the TMDL to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) refine allowable wet weather exceedance days based on additional data on bacterial indicator densities in the wave wash and an evaluation of site-specific variability in exceedance levels, (2) re-evaluate the reference system selected to set allowable exceedance levels, including a reconsideration of whether the allowable number of exceedance days should be adjusted annually dependent on the rainfall conditions and an evaluation of natural variability in exceedance levels in the reference system(s), (3) re-evaluate the reference year used in the calculation of allowable exceedance days, and (4) re-evaluate whether there is a need for further clarification or revision of the geometric mean implementation provision.

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2002-022

Date	Action
Significant Dates for Responsible Jurisdictions and Agencies <i>Not</i> Pursuing an Integrated Water Resources Approach	
6 years after effective date of the TMDL	Each defined jurisdictional group must achieve a 25% cumulative percentage reduction from the total exceedance-day reductions required for that jurisdictional group as identified in Table 7-4.6.
8 years after effective date of the TMDL	Each defined jurisdictional group must achieve a 50% cumulative percentage reduction from the total exceedance-day reductions required for that jurisdictional group as identified in Table 7-4.6.
10 years after effective date of the TMDL	Final implementation targets in terms of allowable wet-weather exceedance days must be achieved at each individual beach as identified in Table 7-4.5. In addition, the geometric mean targets must be achieved for each individual beach location.
Significant Dates for Responsible Jurisdictions and Agencies Pursuing an Integrated Water Resources Approach to Implementation	
6 years after effective date of the TMDL	Each defined jurisdictional group must achieve a 10% cumulative percentage reduction from the total exceedance-day reductions required for that jurisdictional group as identified in Table 7-4.6.
10 years after effective date of the TMDL	Each defined jurisdictional group must achieve a 25% cumulative percentage reduction from the total exceedance-day reductions required for that jurisdictional group as identified in Table 7-4.6.
15 years after effective date of the TMDL	Each defined jurisdictional group must achieve a 50% cumulative percentage reduction from the total exceedance-day reductions required for that jurisdictional group as identified in Table 7-4.6.
18 years after effective date of the TMDL	Final implementation targets in terms of allowable wet-weather exceedance days must be achieved at each individual beach as identified in Table 7-4.5. In addition, the geometric mean targets must be achieved for each individual beach location.

Notes: *For those subwatersheds without an existing shoreline monitoring site, responsible jurisdictions and agencies must establish a shoreline monitoring site if there is measurable flow from a creek or publicly owned storm drain to the beach during dry weather.

Attachment B to Resolution No. 2002-022

Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan – Los Angeles Region to Revise the Santa Monica Bay Beaches Dry-Weather Bacteria TMDL

Adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region on December 12, 2002.

Amendments:

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) Summaries Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Dry Weather Only)*

Table 7-4.3. Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL (Dry Weather Only): Significant Dates

Date	Action
120 days after the effective date of the TMDL	Responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies must submit coordinated shoreline monitoring plan(s), including a list of new sites or sites relocated to the wave wash at which time responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies will select between daily and weekly shoreline sampling.
120 days after the effective date of the TMDL	<p>Responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies must identify and provide documentation on 342 potential discharges to Santa Monica Bay beaches listed in Appendix C of the TMDL Staff Report dated January 11, 2002. Documentation must include a Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD) where necessary.</p> <p>Responsible jurisdictions and responsible agencies must identify and provide documentation on potential discharges to the Area of Special Biological Significance (ASBS) in northern Santa Monica Bay from Latigo Point to the County line.</p> <p>Cessation of the discharges into the ASBS shall be required in conformance with the California Ocean Plan.</p>
2-4 years after effective date of TMDL	Re-open TMDL to re-evaluate allowable winter dry weather exceedance days based on additional data on bacterial indicator densities in the wave wash, a re-evaluation of the reference system selected to set allowable exceedance levels, and a re-evaluation of the reference year used in the calculation of allowable exceedance days.
3 years after effective date of the TMDL	Achieve compliance with allowable exceedance days as set forth in Table 7-4.2a and rolling 30-day geometric mean targets during summer dry weather (April 1 to October 31).
6 years after effective date of the TMDL	Achieve compliance with allowable exceedance days as set forth in Table 7-4.2a and rolling 30-day geometric mean targets during winter dry weather (November 1 to March 31).